

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,535	09/05/2003	Michael Seul	PARSE-C4	8774
7:	590 07/05/2006		EXAMINER	
Bioarray Solutions			DO, PENSEE T	
35 Technology Warren, NJ 0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,535	SEUL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pensee T. Do	1641	· \
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 C     2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under B.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 50 and 55-61 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 50,55-61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	ė
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/656,535 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Amendment Entry & Claim Status

The preliminary amendment filed on October 20, 2005 has been acknowledged and entered.

Claims 50, 55-61 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 59-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 59, line 3, recites "an electric fields" which is indefinite because it is unclear of how many electric fields there are. Please make appropriate correction.

Claim 60 is indefinite for reciting in line 2, that "the substrate and the planar electrode". The substrate is defined in line 1 as a planar electrode. Thus, it is unclear which electrode (the substrate or the other planar electrode), the "planar electrode" in line 2 is being referred to.

Claim 61 is indefinite because it recites "the electric field and the illumination pattern .." which lack antecedent support. Claim 61 depends from claim 56 which fails to recite "the electric field and the illumination pattern...".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 50, 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dickinson et al. (US 6,942,968).

Dickinson teaches a method of forming a matrix of a random arrays (see col. 8, lines 1-19) on a substrate comprising randomly placing the a population of particles, comprising at least two subpopulations, wherein the particles are encoded with labels, wherein differently encoded particles have a different label, into one or more sites on the substrate having a plurality of sites (see col. 24, lines 1-12; col. 30, lines 40-60); recording the position of the particles (col. 25, lines 52-53; col. 31, lines 41-45). With respect to claim 50, Dickinson teaches that differently encoded beads/particles have different biological reagents bound thereto (see col. 30, lines 40-60; col. 20, lines 8-25). With respect to claim 55, Dickinson teaches the substrate is silicon or doped silicon (see

Art Unit: 1641

col. 9, line 5). With respect to claim 57, Dickinson teaches that the arrangement of the particles in the sites is random. (see col. 8, lines 1-19).

## Remarks

It has been noted that the instant application is a CON of 09/365,993 which is a CON of 09/768,414, filed on January 24, 2001, which is a CON of 09/397,793 filed on September 17, 1999, which is a CIP of 09/171,550 filed on October 26, 1998. However, the subject matter claimed by the applicant was introduced in the application number 09/397,793 in which was filed on September 17, 1999. Therefore, the effective filing date of the instant application is September 17, 1999.

Claims 59-61 as now recited as free of prior arts.

The prior arts fail to teach that the particles are confined in the sites by placing the particles in electrolyte solution on a substrate and then applying an electric field to translocate and confine the particles into the sites; and the substrate is a planar electrode, and the method comprises another planar electrode facing the substrate and the electrolyte solution is located between the electrodes, wherein one electrode is light sensitive and an illumination pattern projected thereon is used in conjunction with the electric field, to translocate the particles to the illuminated areas of in the pattern; and the electric field and the illuminated pattern are controlled by a computer.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pensee T. Do whose telephone number is 571-272-0819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,535 Page 5

Art Unit: 1641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pensee T. Do Patent Examiner June 23, 2006

> LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

> > 00/26/06